

District of Columbia
Office of the State Superintendent of Education
Office of Review and Compliance
Student Hearing Office

Terry Michael Banks, Due Process Hearing Officer
1150 - 5th Street, S.E.; Room 3
Washington, D.C. 20003
(202) 698-3819
Facsimile: (202) 698-3825
Tmbanks1303@earthlink.net

OSSE
STUDENT HEARING OFFICE
2009 JUN -4 PM 3:57

Confidential

STUDENT, through the legal guardian ¹)	Complaint Filed: May 5, 2009
)	
Petitioner,)	Hearing Date: N/A
)	
v.)	Docket No.
)	
SCHOOL)	
)	
Respondent.)	
)	
Student Attending:)	
School)	

HEARING OFFICER'S DECISION

Counsel for Petitioner:	Will Purcell, Esquire MidCity Law Group, PLLC 1822 - 11 th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 (202) 528-2800; Fax: (202) 518-2700
--------------------------------	---

Counsel for SEED:	Ellen Douglass Dalton, Esquire Dalton, Dalton & Houston, P.C. 1008 Pendleton Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314-1837 (703) 739-4300; Fax: (703)739-2323
--------------------------	--

¹ Personal identification information is provided in Attachment A.

Counsel for DCPS:

Nia Fripp, Esquire
Office of the General Counsel, DCPS
825 North Capitol Street, N.E.; 9th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20002

Jurisdiction

This proceeding was invoked in accordance with the rights established under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004 ("IDEIA"), 20 U.S.C. Sections 1400 et seq., Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 300; Title V of the District of Columbia ("District" or "D.C.") Municipal Regulations ("DCMR"); and Title 38 of the D.C. Code, Subtitle VII, Chapter 25.

Background

Petitioner is a _____ year-old student attending _____ School. On May 5, 2009, Petitioner filed a Due Process Complaint Notice alleging that _____ had failed to (1) evaluate Petitioner in all areas of suspected disability, (2) terminate services properly, (3) develop an appropriate Individualized Education Program ("IEP"), (4) provide an appropriate placement, and (5) convene a manifestation determination meeting. On May 13, 2009, Petitioner's counsel filed a *Letter of Withdrawal* with the OSSE Student Hearing Office withdrawing the *Complaint*.

There is no provision in IDEIA, the D.C. Code, or DCPS regulations governing voluntary dismissals. The DCPS Standard Operating Procedures ("SOP") authorizes withdrawals upon written notice to the DCPS Student Hearing Office and the other parties, but offers no parameters to determine the appropriateness of withdrawals. Superior Court Rule 41(a)(1), which is identical to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a), provides as follows:

- (1) By plaintiff; by stipulation.

Subject to the provisions of Rule 23(e), of Rule 66, and of any applicable statute, an action may be dismissed by the plaintiff without order of Court (i) by filing a notice of dismissal at any time before service by the adverse party of an answer or of a motion for summary judgment, whichever first occurs, or (ii) by filing a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared in the action. Unless otherwise stated in the notice of dismissal or stipulation, the dismissal is without prejudice, except that a notice of dismissal operates as an adjudication upon the merits when filed by a plaintiff who has once dismissed in any court of the United States or of any state an action based on or including the same claim.

- (2) By order of Court.

Except as provided in paragraph (1) of this subdivision of this Rule, an action shall not be dismissed at the plaintiff's instance save upon order of the Court and upon such terms and conditions as the Court deems proper. If a counterclaim has been pleaded by a defendant prior to the service upon the defendant of the plaintiff's motion to dismiss, the action shall not

be dismissed against the defendant's objection unless the counterclaim can remain pending for independent adjudication by the Court. Unless otherwise specified in the order, a dismissal under this paragraph is without prejudice.

This Hearing Officer will follow Superior Court Rule 41. The *Complaint* will be dismissed without prejudice.

ORDER

Upon consideration of Petitioner's request for a due process hearing and Petitioner's counsel's *Letter of Withdrawal* dated May 13, 2009, this 4th day of June 2009, it is hereby

ORDERED, that the *Complaint* is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that this Order is effective immediately.

Notice of Right to Appeal Hearing Officer's Decision and Order

This is the final administrative decision in this matter. Any party aggrieved by the findings and/or decision may bring a civil action in any state court of competent jurisdiction or in a district court of the United States without regard to the amount in controversy within ninety (90) days of the entry of the Hearing Officer's Decision, in accordance with 20 U.S.C. Section 1415(i)(2)(B).

/s/
Terry Michael Banks
Hearing Officer

Date: June 4, 2009